The Relationship Between Hand Osteoarthritis and Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women

POSTMENOPOZAL KADINLARDA EL OSTEOARTRITI VE OSTEOPOROZ ARASINDAKI ILIŞKI

Selda BAĞIŞ*, Günşah ŞAHİN*, Sacettin ÖZIŞIK*, Altan YILDIZ**, Arzu KANIK***, Canan ERDOĞAN*

- * MD., Mersin University Medical School, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
- ** MD., Mersin University Medical School, Department of Radiology
- ***MD., Mersin University Medical School, Department of Biostatistic, Mersin, TURKEY

- Summary-

Purpose: In this study, the relationship between hand osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in postmenopausal women was investigated.

The place of the study: Mersin University Medical School, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department

Material and Methods: 189 postmenopausal women were evaluated. The patients who had hand osteoarthritis were named as hand osteoarthritis group (n:114), other patients were accepted as control group (n: 75). Patients and control groups hand radiographs (anterior-posterior view) were taken and evaluated according to Kellgren Lawrence scale (0-4). Bone mineral density was measured by DEXA at lumbar and femoral region in all women. Age, body mass index, duration of menopause, smoking, history of hysterectomy and using of hormone replacement treatment were also recorded.

Results: There was no relationship between the grade of hand osteoarthritis and bone mineral density (p= 0.615) and between the joint involvement and bone mineral density (p=0.21 for CMC, p=0.128 for PIP, p= 0.128 for DIP). Age (p= 0.422), body mass index (0.058), duration of menopause (0.303), smoking (p=0.909), history of hysterectomy (p=0.723) and using of hormone replacement treatment (p= 0.664) did not affect on the results.

Conclusion: Although hand osteoarthritis and osteoporosis were common in postmenopausal period, we did not find relationship between two diseases and we suggested that osteoarthritis and osteoporosis are different clinical entities.

Key Words: Osteoarthritis, Hand, Osteoporosis

T Klin J PM&R 2003, 3:1-5

Özet –

Amaç: Bu çalışmada postmenopozal kadınlarda el osteoartriti ile osteoporoz arasındaki ilişki araştırılmıştır.

Çalışmanın Yapıldığı Yer: Mersin Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Fiziksel Tıp ve Rehabilitasyon Anabilim Dalı

Materyal ve Metod: 189 postmenopozal kadın değerlendirildi. El osteoartritine sahip olanlar 'el osteoartrit grubu' olarak adlandırıldı. Diğer hastalar kontrol grubu olarak kabul edildi (n:75).Hasta ve kontrol grubunun ön arka el grafileri çektirilip Kellgren-Lawrence skalasına göre değerlendirildi. Kemik mineral yoğunlukları DEXA ile lomber ve femoral bölgeden ölçüldü. Vücut kitle indeksi, menopoz süresi, sigara içme,hormon replasman tedavisi kullanımı ve histerektomi öyküsü de kaydedildi.

Bulgular: El osteoartriti derecesi ile kemik mineral yoğunluğu (p= 0.615) ve tutulan eklem ile kemik mineral yoğunluğu arasında ilişki saptanmadı (CMC için DEXA p=0.21, PIP için DEXA p=0.128, DIP için DEXA p= 0.128). Yaş (p=0.422), vücut kitle indeksi (p=0.058), menopoz süresi (p=0.303), sigara içme (p=0909), hormon replasman tedavisi kullanımı(p=0.664) ve histerektomi (p=0.723) öyküsünün sonuçlar üzerinde etkisi saptanmadı.

Sonuç: El osteoartriti ile osteoporoz postmenopozal dönemde sık olarak birarada bulunmasına rağmen, aralarında herhangi bir ilişki bulunmadı ve bu iki hastalığın ayrı klinik antiteler olduğu düşünüldü.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Osteoartrit, El, Osteoporoz

T Klin FTR 2003, 3:1-5

Osteoarthritis (OA) and osteoporosis are the most common disorders at the postmenopausal period in women. The inverse relationship between these disorders has long been considered in the literature. Although previous reports revealed

significant correlation between lumbar and knee radiological OA and bone mineral content of the spine and the total body, (1-3) the correlation of hand OA and osteoporosis is controversial. The association of osteoporosis with large joint OA is

T Klin J PM&R 2003, 3

stronger than its relationships with hand OA or primary generalized OA (4). Marcelli and coworkers (5) suggested that bone mineral density (BMD) in patients with hand OA was higher than the values of control group. Contrary, Sowers et al. (6) suggested no difference between patients with hand OA and control groups according to Z scores.

We designed this study to investigate the relationship between hand OA and osteoporosis because of the results are controversial.

Material and Methods

One hundred eighty nine female subjects were evaluated. All women were at postmenopausal period. The women with diabetes mellitus, rheumatic diseases, thyroid and parathyroid diseases, hepatic and renal dysfunction were excluded. The mean age was 59.67± 7.52 (40-79). Antero-posterior hand radiographs were taken and evaluated by according to Kellgren-Lawrence scale (7). The values \geq Grade 2 were accepted as hand OA. The patients who had hand OA were named as hand OA group (n:114). Other patients were accepted as control group (n:75) The evaluation of proximal interphalangial joints (PIP), distal interphalangial (DIP) joints and carpo-metacarpal joints (CMC) were also recorded. BMD was measured using dual energy X ray absorbsiometry (DEXA) (Hologic QDR 4500) at femoral neck region and at lumbar vertebrae region (L2-4). The values, which T score > - 2.5 were considered as osteoporosis. The patients were divided into two groups according to age (>60 and ≤60) and body mass index (BMI) (\geq 25, and <25). In addition smoking, using of HRT, hysterectomy and duration of menopause were also recorded.

Data were analysed using the SPSS for Windows program (Version 9.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and expressed as means ± SD. Homogenity of variance were calculated by Levene's test. One-way ANOVA test was used to compare to mean BMD values between different X ray groups and different joint groups. Correlations between the groups were assessed by Pearson correlation coefficient. Chi Square and independent student T test were used to compare the groups. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

One hundred fourteen patients had hand OA and seventy-five patients were normal. Descriptive statistics were shown in Table 1. There was no statistically significant difference between hand OA group and control group (P=0.434). The relationship between the severity of hand OA and mean BMD was shown in Table 2. One hundred fourteen patients with hand OA, 100 had DIP joint involvement, 52 had PIP joint involvement and 65 had CMC joint involvement. The involvements of PIP joints (p=0.978), DIP joints (p= 0.128) and CMC joints (p=0.21) have no effect on BMD (Table 3). Eighteen patients were smoking, fifteen patients were using HRT and 20 patients had hysterectomy in hand OA group and ten patients were smoking, 12 patients were using HRT and 13 pa-

Table 1. Characteristics of patients in the hand osteoarthritis group and in the control group (Mean \pm SD)

	HAND OA (n:114)	CONTROL (n:75)	P
AGE (years)	61.51± 6.43	60.53 ± 6.21	NS
BMI (kg/m^2)	28.05 ± 4.65	27.38 ± 4.34	NS
MENOPAUSE (years)	8 ± 1.03	7.3 ± 1.05	NS
LOMBER BMD (gm/cm ²)	$0,8564 \pm 0.161$	0.8196 ± 0.1713	NS
FEMUR NECK BMD (gm/cm ²)	0.7491 ± 0.142	0.7352 ± 0.109	NS
FEMUR TOTAL BMD (gm/cm ²)	0.8482 ± 0.165	0.8186 ± 0.124	NS

BMI: Body mass index BMD: Bone mineral density Hand OA: Hand osteoarthritis

NS: Not statistically significant differences.

2 T Klin FTR 2003, 3

Table 2. The relationship between the grade of hand osteoarthritis and bone mineral density at lumbar and femoral regions (Mean \pm SD)

			HAND OA		
	GRADE 0	GRADE 2	GRADE 3	GRADE 4	P VALUE
	(n: 75)	(n:42)	(n:41)	(n:31)	PVALUE
LUMBAR BMD (gm/cm ²)	0.819 ± 0.17	0.844 ± 0.20	0.850 ± 0.15	0.874 ± 0.17	NS
FEMUR NECK BMD (gm/cm ²)	0.735 ± 0.10	0.774 ± 0.18	0.735 ± 0.12	0.737 ± 0.19	NS
FEMUR TOTAL BMD (gm/cm ²)	0.818 ± 0.12	0.851 ± 0.24	0.827 ± 0.16	0.864 ± 0.13	NS

Hand OA: Hand Osteoarthritis BMD: Bone mineral density

NS: Not statistically significant differences

Table 3. The mean BMD values and joint involvement in hand OA. (mean \pm SD) There was no statististically significant relationship between the groups

	LUMBAR BMD (gm/cm²)	FEMUR NECK BMD (gm/cm ²)	FEMUR TOTAL BMD (gm/cm²)
CMC OA (+)	0.860 ± 0.16	0.733 ± 0.15	0.831 ± 0.17
OA (-)	0.829 ± 0.16	0.739 ± 0.12	0.827 ± 0.13
PIP OA (+)	0.841 ± 0.17	0.733 ± 0.13	0.828 ± 0.14
OA (-)	0.840 ± 0.17	0.738 ± 0.13	0.829 ± 0.15
DIP OA (+)	0.857 ± 0.17	0.739 ± 0.15	0.835 ± 0.17
OA (-)	0.821 ± 0.16	0.734 ± 0.10	0.821 ± 0.12

BMD: Bone mineral density, CMC OA: Carpometacarpal osteoarthritis, PIP OA: Proximal interphalangial osteoarthritis, DIP OA: Distal interphalangeal osteoarthritis

tients had hysterectomy in control group (Table 4). Age (p=0.422), BMI (0.058), duration of menopause (p=0.303), smoking (p=0.09), using HRT (p= 0.664) and history of hysterectomy (p=0.723) had no effect on the relationship between hand OA and osteoporosis.

Discussion

Hand OA is more common in patients with 65 years or older ages. Van Saase et al (8) found that this ratio was 70%. Similarly, osteoporosis is common in postmenopausal women. Many researchers investigated to the relationship of two diseases. While some researchers found no relationship between two diseases (9,10), the others showed that BMD increased in the presence of radiographic OA of the knee or hip (2,11,12). There are several possible reasons such as genetic factors, common risk factors and growth factors for

Table 4. The distribution of the patients according to age, BMI, smoking, using HRT and history of hysterectomy

	HAND OA	CONTROL
Age <60	39 (%20.6)	51 (%27)
≥60	75 (%39.7)	24 (%12.7)
BMI <25	31 (%16.4)	22 (%11.6)
≥25	83 (%43.9)	53 (%28.1)
Smoking	18 (%9.52)	10 (%5.29)
HRT	15 (%7.93)	12 (%6.34)
Hysterectomy	20 (%10.58)	13 (%6.87)

Hand OA: Hand osteoarthritis, BMI: Body mass index

HRT: Hormone replacement therapy

explaining the relationship between OA and osteoporosis (13). Dequeker et al (14) suggested that increased bone density in patients with OA may be associated with insulin like 1 growth fac-

T Klin J PM&R 2003, 3

tor 1 and 2 (IGF 1, IGF 2) and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-B).

The nature of the relationship between osteoporosis and OA may be associated with the site of involvement. Sambrook et al (5) suggested that the evidence for relationship between osteoporosis and OA is stronger for large joint OA than hand OA or primary generalized OA. Similarly Hochberg et al (15) found that the hand OA is not associated with increased bone mass. However, Marcelli et al (5) suggested that the severity of hand OA is positively correlated with bone mass in elderly women. Recent studies indicated that higher BMD was less associated with hand osteoarthritis (13). In our study the BMD findings were similar in hand OA and control groups and the grade of hand OA did not correlate with bone mass. This finding is consistent with the recent studies.

Increased age, low BMI, duration of menopause, HRT and history of hysterectomy are risk factors which may effect on bone mass. We divided the patients into two groups according to age (> 60 and $60\ge$) for investigating the effect of age factor, but we did not find any significant difference between two age groups according to BMD.

It has also been suggested that OA and osteoporosis might be associated with body size. Dequeker et al (14) reported that women with OA were more obese and their muscle strength had greater than women with osteoporosis. They suggested that these characteristics may explain the increased bone mass. Contrary, Price et al (16) observed that bone mass values were similar in female patients with OA and in normal controls, after adjustment for age and weight. In this study we divided the patients as two groups according to BMI (≥ 25, and <25), we adjusted these patients for age and weight. We did not find any difference between the two groups.

The Chingford study demonstrated that HRT was protective effect on osteoarthritis, but the effect was weaker in the hand joints. We did not find any significant protective effect but the number of patients with using HRT was low in our study

group. Similarly we found that smoking and history of hysterectomy had no effect on the relationship of these two diseases but the number of these patients were low in our study group.

In conclusion we did not find any significant difference between hand OA and control groups regarding BMD. Also age, BMI, duration of menopause, smoking and using HRT had no effects on this relationship. Therefore, we can suggest that hand osteoarthritis and axial osteoporosis are different clinical entities although they are seen commonly in postmenopausal period. But, further studies investigating bone metabolism markers and IGF1, 2, TFGB are needed.

REFERENCES_

- Belmonte- Serrano MA, Bloch DA, Lane NE, Michel BE; Fries JF. The relationship between spinal and peripheral osteoarthritis and bone density measurements. J Rheumatol 1993, Jun; 20(6): 1005-13.
- Burger H, Van Daele PL, Odding E, Valkenburg HA, Hoffman A, Grobbee DE, Schutte HE, Birgenhager JC, Pols HA. Association of radiographically evident osteoarthritis with higher bone mineral density and increased bone loss with age. The Rotterdam Study. Arthritis Rheum 1996 Jan;39 (1): 81-6.
- 3. Pogrund H, Rutenberg M, Makin M, Robin G, Menczel J, Steinberg MA. Osteoarthritis of the hip joint and osteoporosis. Clin Orthop 1982,164:130-5.
- 4 Sambrook P, Naganathan V. What is relationship between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis? Baillieres Clin Rheumatol 1997 Nov; 11 (4): 695-710.
- Marcelli C, Favier F, Kotzki PO, Ferrazzi V, Picot MC, Simon L. The relationship between osteoarthritis of the hands bone mineral density and osteoporotic fractures in elderly women. Osteoporosis Int 1995; 5(5):382-8.
- 6. Sowers M, Zobel D, Weissfeld L, Hawthorne V, Carman W. Progression of osteoarthritis of the hand and metacarpal bone loss. Arthritis Rheum 1991; 34 (1):36-42.
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1957; 16: 494-501.
- Van Saase JL, Van Romunde LKJ, Cats A, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis: Zoetermeer survey. Ann Rheum Dis 1989;48:271-80.
- 9 Sahin G, Bolgen Cimen O, Bagis S, Ozer C, Bicer A, Guler H, Erdogan C. Bone mineral density and grade of knee osteoarthritis. Türk Fiz Tıp Rehabil Derg 2001,47(5):3-5.
- Hart DJ, Mootoosamy I, Doyle DV, Spector TD. The relationship between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in the general population: The Chingford Study. Ann Rheum Dis 1994 Mar; 53(3):158-62.

4 T Klin FTR 2003, 3

- 11. Sowers M, Lachance L, Jamadar D, Hochberg MC, Hollis B, Crutchfield M, Jannausch ML. The association of bone mineral density and bone turnover markers with osteoarthritis of the hand and knee in pre-perimenopausal women. Arthritis Rheum 1999, Mar; 42(3):483-9.
- 12. Hordon LD, Stewart SP, Troughton PR, Wright V, Horsman A, Smith MA. Primary generalized osteoarthritis and bone mass. Br. J Rheumatol 1993, Dec 32(12): 1059-61.
- 13. Stewart A, Black AJ. Bone mineral density in osteoarthritis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2000, Sep 12:464-7.
- Dequeker J, Goris P, Uytterhoeven R. Osteoporosis and osteoarthritis: anthropometric distinctions. JAMA, 1983, 249:1448-51.
- 15. Hochberg MC, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Scott WW Jr, Plato CC, Tobin JD. Appendicular bone mass and osteoarthritis of the hands in women: data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of aging. J Rheumatol 1994, Aug; 21(8): 1532-6.
- 16. Price T, Hesp R, Mitchell R. Bone density in generalized osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 1987,14:560-2.

Geliş Tarihi: 01.03.2002

Yazışma Adresi: Dr.Selda BAĞIŞ

Mersin University Medical School Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation seldabagis@ hotmail.com

T Klin J PM&R 2003, 3